Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/345,092	WALKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tri V. Nguyen	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Tri V. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Carson Fincham</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 September 2006	Time: <u>5PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103(a)		
Claims discussed: 3,6-9,17,19,25,26,28,48,51,52,57		
Prior art documents discussed: Cameron et al. and Von Kohom (US 5,368,129)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN An authorization for an examiner's amendment was granted by 9,17,19,25,26,28,48,51,52,57. Details of the amendment are a	the Applicant's Representati	
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	The examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	int/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(Applica	mor applicant a recpresenta	are dignature in appropriate,